

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/611,791	06/30/2003	Xiaoning Ye	42P15581	1296	
8791	7590 06/17/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	SOKOLOFF TAYLO	NATALINI, JEFF WILLIAM			
SEVENTH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			2858		

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	•	
١		ι

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/611,791	YE ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Jeff Natalini	2858

Advisory Action	10/011,791	YE ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>			
	Jeff Natalini	2858				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
		·				
HE REPLY FILED 13 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month parned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extensio final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must the AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	), to avoid dismissal d	of the appeal.			
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f. will not be entered t	pecause			
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•••					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	· •	jected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			_			
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ls to provide a 1).			
I0. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.			
<ul> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but</li> </ul>	it does NOT place the application is	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	,					

Note: The amendment entered into all the independent claims was orginally claim 3, which was dependent from claim 1. So in cancelling claim 3 and putting it into claim 1 no new issues are raised, but in putting this amendment into independent claims 8, 18, and 23, which contain different combinations than independent claim 1, new issues are raised, and determining allowability would require further consideration. This is because claims 8, 18, and 23 never had this subject matter in their dependancy chain.

gn

ANJAN DEB
PRIMARY EXAMINER